ISSN 0972-1800



VOLUME 22, NO. 4

QUARTERLY

OCTOBER-DECEMBER, 2020



Date of Publication: 19th February, 2021

BIONOTES

A Quarterly Newsletter for Research Notes and News On Any Aspect Related with Life Forms

BIONOTES articles are abstracted/indexed/available in the Indian Science Abstracts, INSDOC; Zoological Record; Thomson Reuters (U.S.A); CAB International (U.K.); The Natural History Museum Library & Archives, London: Library Naturkundemuseum, Erfurt (Germany) etc. and online databases.

Founder Editor

Dr. R. K. Varshney, Aligarh, India

Board of Editors

Peter Smetacek, Butterfly Research Centre, Bhimtal, India petersmetacek@gmail.com

V.V. Ramamurthy, New Delhi, India <u>vvrento@gmail.com</u>

Zdenek F. Fric, Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Entomology, Branisovska 31, CZ-37005 Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic. <u>fric@entu.cas.cz</u>.

Stefan Naumann, Berlin, Germany <u>sn@saturniidae.com</u>

R.C. Kendrick, Hong Kong SAR <u>hkmoths@gmail.com</u>

Publication Policy

Information, statements or findings published are the views of its author/ source only.

Manuscripts

Please E-mail to petersmetacek@gmail.com.

Guidelines for Authors

BIONOTES publishes short notes on any aspect of biology. Usually submissions are reviewed by one or two reviewers.

Kindly submit a manuscript after studying the format used in this journal (<u>http://www.entosocindia.org/</u>). Editor reserves the right to reject articles that do not adhere to our format. Please provide a contact telephone number. Authors will be provided with a pdf file of their publication.

Address for Correspondence

Butterfly Research Centre, Bhimtal, Uttarakhand 263 136, India. Phone: +91 8938896403.

Email: <u>butterflyresearchcentre@gmail.com</u>

From Volume 21 Published by the Entomological Society of India (ESI), New Delhi (Nodal Officer: V.V. Ramamurthy, ESI, New Delhi) And Butterfly Research Centre, Bhimtal Executive Editor: Peter Smetacek Assistant Editor: Shristee Panthee Butterfly Research Trust, Bhimtal

Published by Dr. R.K. Varshney, A Biologists Confrerie, Raj Bhawan, Manik Chowk, Aligarh (up to volume 20 (2018)) R.N.I. Registration No. 71669/99.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REDISCOVERY OF SMALL SILVERFORK, <i>LETHE JALAURIDA</i> (INSECTA: LEPIDOPTERA: NYMPHALIDAE) FROM GARHWAL, UTTARAKHAND, INDIA by Harish Bhatt, Shankar Kumar & Paramjit Singh 198
AEGLE MARMELOS (RUTACEAE): A NEW LARVAL HOST PLANT FOR THE BLUE MORMON BUTTERFLY PAPILIO POLYMNESTOR
by Raju Kasambe & Dilip Giri 201 CONFIRMATORY RECORD OF WAX DART <i>CUPITHA PURREEA</i> MOORE, 1881
(INSECTA: LEPIDOPTERA: HESPERIIDAE) FROM JHARKHAND, INDIA by Rajib Dey, Soumyajit Mondal, Supratim Deb, Subhajit Roy & Sourabh Biswas 205
NEW RECORD OF TAWNY RAJAH <i>CHARAXES BERNARDUS</i> (INSECTA: LEPIDOPTERA: NYMPHALIDAE) FROM KUMAON, UTTARAKHAND, INDIA by Shankar Kumar, Param Jit Singh, Sagar Balmiki & Kasim Bilal 207
BURMEIA LEESI MINET, 2013 (LEPIDOPTERA: EPICOPEIIDAE): A NEW GENUS AND SPECIES FOR INDIA by Peter Smetacek 209
REPORT ON ADULT BEETLE <i>CELOSTERNA SCABRATOR</i> (FABRICIUS, 1781) (COLEOPTERA: CERAMBYCIDAE: LAMIINAE) FEEDING ON VEGETABLE PIGEONPEA by Harshita A. P., Gopali, J. B., Ramanagouda S. H. Mudassar & Sangamesh, R. H. 211
FIRST RECORD OF <i>PRIONOMMA BIGIBBOSUM</i> (COLEOPTERA: CERAMBYCIDAE) FROM NEPAL by Sajan K.C., Rajkumar K.C. & Bhanubhakta Adhikari 214
REDISCOVERY OF TIGER HOPPER <i>OCHUS SUBVITTATUS</i> (INSECTA: LEPIDOPTERA: HESPERIIDAE) FROM UTTARAKHAND, INDIA by Shankar Kumar, Param Jit Singh & Sundar Kumar 216
NEW HESPERIIDAE (INSECTA: LEPIDOPTERA) LARVAL HOST PLANT ASSOCIATIONS FROM WEST BENGAL, INDIA by Rajib Dey 218
SOME NEW DISTRIBUTION RECORDS OF LYCAENID BUTTERFLIES IN NEPAL by Sajan K.C. & Anisha Sapkota 226
<i>ERANTHEMUM ROSEUM</i> (ACANTHACEAE) AS NEW LARVAL HOST PLANT FOR THE BENGAL SPOTTED FLAT <i>CELAENORRHINUS PUTRA</i> (MOORE, [1866]) by Raju Kasambe & Dilip Giri 230
FIRST RECORDS FOR NEPAL OF TWO HESPERIIDAE: GEROSIS SINICA AND CEPHRENES ACALLE by Piet van der Poel 233
CONFIRMATION OF <i>RAGADIA CRISILDA</i> (HEWITSON 1862) (LEPIDOPTERA: SATYRINAE) AND <i>MATAPA CRESTA</i> (EVANS 1949) (LEPIDOPTERA: HESPERIINAE) IN WEST BENGAL, INDIA

by Sourabh Biswas, Sandip Das, Rahul Biswas, Amarttya Bagchi, Ram Chandra Sha Mahato	& Lakhu 236
<i>PSILOGRAMMA VATES</i> (LEPIDOPTERA: SPHINGIDAE) IN GUJARAT by Peter Smetacek, Rajashree Bhuyan & Pratiksha Patel	238
AN ADDITION TO THE KNOWN AGANAINAE FAUNA (INSECTA: LEPID EREBIDAE) OF INDIA	OPTERA:
by B. Lalnghahpuii & Peter Smetacek	240
A NEW RECORD OF <i>FLOS CHINENSIS</i> (INSECTA: LEPIDOPTERA: LYCAENIDA WESTERN HIMALAYA, INDIA	E) FROM
by Shankar Kumar, Param Jit Singh, Sundar Kumar & Niharika Bisht	242
LIFE CYCLE OF <i>OLIGONYCHUS ORYZAE</i> (HIRST, 1926), AN IMPORTANT PADDY ON 3 DIFFERENT <i>KHARIF</i> CULTIVARS AND <i>BORO</i> CULTIVAR LABORATORY CONDITIONS	
by Sugandha Mukhopadhyay & Salil Kumar Gupta	244
A NEW ALTITUDINAL RECORD FOR <i>PORITIA HEWITSONI</i> (INSECTA: LEPID LYCAENIDAE) FROM UTTARAKHAND, INDIA	OPTERA:
by Akash Gulalia	249
EXTENSION OF THE KNOWN DISTRIBUTION OF THE CLUB SIL BUTTERFLY, <i>SPINDASIS SYAMA</i> (HORSEFIELD,1829) (LEPIDOPTERA: LYCA TO SATPURA TIGER RESERVE, MADHYA PRADESH	
by Anupam Sisodia & Himanshu Yadav	251
EDITORIAL: REGARDING A CASE OF PLAGIARISM by Peter Smetacek	253
	200

LIFE CYCLE OF *OLIGONYCHUS ORYZAE* (HIRST, 1926), AN IMPORTANT PEST OF PADDY ON THREE DIFFERENT *KHARIF* CULTIVARS AND *BORO* CULTIVAR UNDER LABORATORY CONDITIONS

SUGANDHA MUKHOPADHYAY¹ & SALIL KUMAR GUPTA²

^{1 & 2}Medicinal Plants Research and Extension Centre, Ramakrishna Mission, Narendrapur,

Kolkata - 700103 ¹ <u>mukherjeesugandha4@gmail.com</u> ^{*2} salil_zsidumdum@yahoo.com

Reviewer: Peter Smetacek

Abstract

The study on life cycle of *Oligonychus oryzae* (Hirst, 1926) on *Kharif* early cultivar, IET 11870, *Kharif* mid-early cultivar, IET 11271, *Kharif* late cultivar, IET 12133 and *Boro* cultivar, IET 12055 reveals that the life cycle was completed in 11.24 ± 1.38 days, 12.29 ± 1.89 days, 11.99 ± 1.57 days and 12.97 ± 1.62 days on early, mid-early, late cultivars of *Kharif* and *Boro* cultivar, respectively. The corresponding figures for oviposition period were 16.0 ± 2.22 days, 17.03 ± 2.97 days, 16.91 ± 2.51 days and 17.19 ± 2.27 days, respectively while the corresponding figures for fecundity were 21 ± 1.10 eggs, 22 ± 0.97 eggs, 19.2 ± 1 eggs and 21.61 ± 0.87 eggs, respectively. It was observed that there is not much difference regarding life stages, total time taken to complete the life cycle as well as in oviposition and fecundity among the 4 cultivars studied and therefore, all the 4 cultivars appeared to be preferred hosts for this mite.

Keywords: Oligonychus oryzae, West Bengal, life cycle, IET 11870, IET 11271, IET 12133, IET 12055.

Introduction

Oligonychus oryzae (Hirst, 1926) is an important pest of paddy in different parts of India. In Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and West Bengal, it causes greater damage compared to other parts of India, causing the appearance of white patches on leaves which later turn yellow and start drying, causing 25% loss (Nagarjan, 1957; Banerjee, 1989). The damage may extend up to 25%. Devitalization and retardation of growth also occur. This mite is commonest during the premonsoon season. The population dwindles during the monsoon period, reappears in lesser numbers during the post-monsoon period and is scarce during winter months. In the case of West Bengal, it is not as serious a pest as Steneotarsonemus spinki Smiley, 1967 but yet it has been recorded in some pockets of South

24 Parganas, Hooghly and Nadia districts. The study of the life cycle was published by Misra & Israel (1968) from Odisha. Thereafter, no study was done on the life cycle of this mite, especially under conditions in West Bengal and hence, this study was undertaken.

Material and Methods

The mite infestation on paddy was observed in and around Canning area in South 24 Parganas district of West Bengal and the mites were collected therefrom for life cycle study. The early, mid-early and late *Kharif* cultivars and *Boro* cultivars which were selected were IET 11870, IET 11271, IET 12133 and IET 12055, respectively. The laboratory culture and the technique for life cycle study followed conventional methods (Helle & Sabelis, 1985; Gupta, 2012). This study was done under

BIONOTES

laboratory conditions maintaining temperature and RH regimes of $30 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and $75 \pm 2\%$ (premonsoon), $27.5 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and $85 \pm 2\%$ (monsoon), $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and $65 \pm 2\%$ (post-monsoon) and $18 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and $65 \pm 2\%$ (winter season), respectively. The observations were recorded with regard to duration of different life stages along with pre-oviposition, oviposition, postoviposition periods, fecundity, longevity and sex ratio. The data thus collected were subjected to statistical analysis and are presented in Table-1.

Results and Discussions

The duration of different life stages egg-adult period, pre-oviposition, oviposition, postoviposition periods, fecundity, longevity, sex ratio, etc. have been given in Table1.

A perusal of that Table reveals the following:

Incubation period: Among the 3 cultivars of *Kharif* season, this duration was shortest in the case of early cultivar IET-11870 where it was 3.7 ± 0.37 days and the maximum was 4.1 ± 0.28 days in the case of mid-early cultivar (IET-11271). Not much difference existed between early cultivar IET-11870 and late cultivar IET-12133 as in the former case, it was 3.7 ± 0.37 days and in the latter case it was 3.8 ± 0.31 days. However, in the *Boro* cultivar i.e. IET-12055, the duration was shortest which was 2.9 ± 0.37 days.

Larval period: The larval period was shortest in *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870 which was 2.40 ± 0.40 days and it was highest in case of *Kharif* mid-early cultivar IET-11271 where it was 3.29 ± 0.36 days. It was followed by $3.0 \pm$ 0.29 days and 3.03 ± 0.37 days in case of *Kharif* late cultivar IET-12133 and *Boro* cultivar IET-12055, respectively.

1st Quiescent stage: There was not much difference in the duration of 1st Quiescent stage which ranged between 0.89 ± 0.37 days (*Kharif* mid-early cultivar IET-11271) and the maximum was 0.94 ± 0.48 days in *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870.

Protonymphal period: Not much difference existed in duration of protonymphal period in

4 cultivars as was evident from the fact that it was 2.64 ± 1.13 days, 2.33 ± 1.890 days, 2.58 ± 1.72 days and 2.47 ± 1.64 days in case of *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870, *Kharif* midearly cultivar IET-11271, *Kharif* late cultivar IET-12133 and *Boro* cultivar IET-12055, respectively.

Deutonymphal period: This period was minimum $(2.97 \pm 0.39 \text{ days})$ in *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870 and was maximum $(3.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ days})$ in *Boro* cultivar IET-12055. Among *Kharif* mid-early cultivar IET-11271 and *Kharif* late cultivar IET-12133, the difference in duration was very marginal.

Total developmental period (egg- adult): This period was shortest in *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870 which was 11.24 ± 1.38 days and which was very close to *Kharif* late cultivar IET-12133 where it was 11.99 ± 1.57 days. The maximum duration was 12.97 ± 1.62 days in *Boro* cultivar IET-12055 followed by 12.29 ± 1.89 days in case of *Kharif* mid-early cultivar IET-11271.

Pre-oviposition period This period was more or less of similar duration in all 4 cultivars selected in present study and that was $2.21 \pm$ 0.34 days, 2.36 ± 0.24 days, 2.29 ± 0.31 days and 2.39 ± 0.49 days in case of *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870, *Kharif* mid-early cultivar IET-11271, *Kharif* late cultivar IET-12133 and *Boro* cultivar IET-12055, respectively.

Oviposition period: Although the duration for the completion of life cycle was shortest in *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870 but the oviposition period in the same cultivar was shorter (16.0 ± 2.22 days) as compared to other two cultivars like *Kharif* mid-early cultivar IET-11271 where it was 17.03 ± 2.97 days and *Boro* cultivar IET-12055 where it was $17.19 \pm$ 2.27. However, the oviposition period in case of *Kharif* late cultivar IET-12133 was quite close to *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870.

Post-oviposition period: As regards postoviposition period, the shortest duration was 2.81 ± 0.44 days in case of *Boro* cultivar IET-12055 and the longest duration was 3.03

 \pm 0.51 days in case of *Kharif* mid-early cultivar IET-11271.

Fecundity: No difference existed between *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870 and *Boro* cultivar IET-12055 as in case of the former the fecundity was 21 ± 1.10 eggs and in the latter it was 21.61 ± 0.87 eggs. The fecundity was highest in case of *Kharif* mid-early cultivar IET-11271 where it was 22 ± 0.97 eggs while this was poorest in case of *Kharif* late cultivar IET-12133 and that was 14.2 ± 2.42 eggs.

Sex ratio: In case of *Boro* cultivar IET-12055 the sex ratio was more female biased where it was 1:5.1 whereas it was 1:3.7 in *Kharif* early cultivar IET-11870, 1:4 in case of *Kharif* midearly cultivar IET-11271 and 1:4.02 in case of *Kharif* late cultivar IET-12133.

Discussion

From the analysis of the entire result, it appeared that the shortest life cycle was in the case of Kharif early cultivar IET-11870, which was close to Kharif late cultivar IET-12133, but so far as fecundity is concerned, Kharif mid-early cultivar IET-11271 was slightly better as compared to other cultivars. Regarding longevity, the longest period was Boro cultivar IET-12055 where the female lived for the longest period. In the same cultivar, the sex ratio was also more female biased as compared to other cultivars. But the overall, there was no significant or noticeable difference among the cultivars and whatever differences existed was more or less marginal in nature.

As regards incubation period, Nayal *et al.* (2007) reported the life cycle of *Oligonychus oryzae* and Saha *et al.* (1999) reported the life cycle of *Oligonychus coffeae* (Nietner, 1861). In both cases, the duration as mentioned was much higher $(4.70 \pm 1.09 \text{ days})$ in *Oligonychus oryzae* and 6.33 ± 0.52 days in *Oligonychus coffeae*, respectively. In the case of *Eutetranychus uncatus* Garman, 1952, Lal & Mukherjee (1979) reported higher incubation period than what was observed in the present case. Maity & Chakroborty (1978) also

reported a higher incubation period for *Panonychus citri* (McGregor, 1916).

Regarding larval period, the duration as was observed in the present case was shorter than the one reported by Lal & Mukherjee (1979) in case of *Eutetranychus uncatus* where it was 4.62 days.

Regarding, egg to adult period, the present observation is quite close to the observations made by most of the previous workers, like on *Oligonychus oryzae* (Nayak *et al.*, 2007), *Oligonychus coffeae* (Saha *et al.*, 1999) and *Tetranychus neocaledonicus* Andre, 1933 (Mallikarjunappa & Nageschandra, 1989)

Regarding fecundity, the number of eggs per female observed in the present case was much lower compared to Oligonychus coffeae (Saha et al., 1999) where it was 68.50 ± 1.38 eggs but was close to that reported it by Mallikarjunappa & Nageschandra (1989) in Eutetranychus the case of hicoriae (McGregor, 1950) where it was 13.77 ± 3.48 eggs.

As regards longevity, the present observation was quite similar to Saha *et al.* (1999), who reported 15.60 ± 3.71 days in case of *Oligonychus coffeae* but compared to the observation made on *Oligonychus oryzae* (Hirst) by Nayak *et al.* (2007), the present observation is of shorter duration.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Dr. Kinkar Saha, Entomologist, Rice Research Institution, Chuchura, West Bengal for valuable suggestions offered while conducting the study and to the Secretary, Ramakrishna Mission Ashrama, Narendrapur for providing laboratory facilities.

References

Banerjee, B. 1989. An Introduction to Agricultural Acarology, Biology and Control of mite pests in the Tropics. Associated Pub. Co. New Delhi. 116 pp.

Gupta, S.K. 2012. Injurious and beneficial mites infesting agrihorticultural crops in India

Vol. 22 (4), December, 2020

and their management. Nature Books India, New Delhi. 362 pp.

Helle, W.M., M.W. Sabelis. 1985. *Spider mites, their biology, natural enemies and control.* Elsevier, Amsterdam. Vol 1A: 403 pp., vol 1B: 457 pp.

Lal, L. & S.P. Mukherjee. 1979. A contribution to the knowledge of

phytophagous mites infesting medicinal plants. *Science & Culture*. 43: 313-316.

Maity, S.P. & S. Chakroborty. 1978. Biological studies on *Panonychus citri* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Indian. J. Acarol.* 2(2): 55-59.

Mallikarjunappa, S. & B.K. Nageshchandra. 1989. Biology of *Eotetranychus hicoriae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) on guava. *Indian. J. Acarol.* 10: 47-51.

BIONOTES

Misra, B.C. & P. Israel. 1968. Studies on the bionomics of paddy mite *Oligonychus oryzae* (Acarina: Tetranychidae), *Oryza. J. Ass. Rice. Res. Workshops.* 5: 32-37.

Nagarjan, K.R. 1957. A short note on *Paratetranychus oryzae* Hirst., the paddy mite. *Madras. Agric. J.* 44: 480.

Nayak, H.G., R. Channakeshava, K. Rajashekharappa, M. Hegde & P.S. Hugar. 2007. Incidence of paddy leaf mite *Oligonychus oryza* Hirst (Acari: Tetranychidae) on paddy in Tungabhadra project area of Karnataka. *J. Acarol.* 16 (1&2): 57-59.

Saha, K., P.K. Dey, A.K. Somchowdhury & P.K. Sarkar. 1999. Effect of temperature and relative humidity on the rate of development, longevity and fecundity of the red spider mite, *Oligonychus coffeae. Journal of Acarology.* 15 (1 & 2): 84-88.

Table- 1. Life cycle of *Oligonychus oryzae* on *Kharif* (early, mid-early and late cultivars) and *Boro* cultivar (2015-16).

	<i>Kharif</i> Early cultivar (IET- 11870)		<i>Kharif</i> Mid- early cultivar (IET- 11271)		Kharif Late cultivar (IET- 12133)		Boro cultivar (IET- 12055)	
Stages	Mean ± SD(Days)	Range	Mean ± SD (Days)	Range	Mean ± SD(Days)	Range	Mean ± SD(Days)	Range
Incubation	3.7 ± 0.37	1.3- 8.7	4.1 ± 0.28	0.9- 9.9	3.8 ± 0.31	1.2- 8.8	2.9 ± 0.37	0.1-7.8
Larva	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2.40 & \pm \\ 0.40 & \end{array}$	1.6- 7.4	3.29 ± 0.36	1.71- 8.29	3 ± 0.29	0.2-8	3.03 ± 0.37	1.97- 8.03
1 st Quiescent	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.94 & \pm \\ 0.48 & \end{array}$	0.46- 5.94	$\begin{array}{c} 0.89 \ \pm \\ 0.37 \end{array}$	0.11- 5.89	0.99 ± 0.5	0.01- 5.99	0.09 ± 0.63	0.1-5.8
Protonymph	2.64 ± 1.13	0.06- 7.64	2.33 ± 1.89	0.67- 7.33	2.58 ± 1.72	0.42- 7.58	2.47 ± 1.64	0.53- 7.47

Vol. 22 (4), December, 2020

BIONOTES

2 nd Quiescent	0.96 ±	0.04-	$1.02 \pm$	0.98-	1 ± 0.2	0.04-6	1.19 ± 0.34	0.81-
	1.13	5.96	0.27	6.02				6.19
Deutonymph	2.97 ±	2.03-	3.01 ±	1.99-	3.09 ±	1.91-	3.7 ± 0.3	1.3-8.7
	0.39	7.97	0.26	8.07	0.31	8.09		
3rd Quiescent	0.86 ±	0.14-	$0.93 \pm$	0.07-	0.92 ±	0.08-	0.89 ± 0.34	0.11-
	0.29	5.86	0.33	5.93	0.27	5.92		5.89
Total	11.24 ±	6.24-	$12.29 \pm$	7.29-	11.99 ±	6.99-	12.97 ± 1.62	7.97-
development-	1.38	16.24	1.89	17.29	1.57	16.99		17.97
al period								
(egg-adult)								
Pre-	2.21 ±	0.79-	2.36 \pm	0.64-	2.29 ±	0.71-	2.39 ± 0.49	0.61-
oviposition	0.34	7.24	0.29	7.36	0.31	7.29		7.39
period								
Oviposition	16.0 ±	11-27	$17.03 \pm$	12.03-	16.91 ±	11.91-	17.19 ± 2.27	12.19-
period	2.22		2.97	22.03	2.51	21.91		22.19
Post-	2.86 ±	2.14-	$3.03 \pm$	1.97-	2.93 ± 0.5	0.07-	2.81 ± 0.44	0.19-
oviposition	0.46	7.86	0.51	8.03		7.93		7.87
period								
Longevity	15.0 ±	10-20	$16.9 \pm$	11.9-	15.87 ±	10.87-	17.33 ± 3.15	12.33-
	2.26		3.39	21.9	2.9	20.87		22.33
Fecundity	21 ± 1.10	16-26	22 ±	17-27	19.2 ± 1	14.2-	$21.61{\pm}0.87$	16.61-
Eggs/female			0.97			2.42		26.64
Sex ratio	1:3.7		1:4		1:4.02		1:5.1	
(male:female)								